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## Quasiconformal Maps

For a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let
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The ratio $\lceil T\rceil /\lfloor T\rfloor$ is a measure of eccentricity.
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## Quasiconformal Maps

For a linear transformation $T: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let

$$
\lfloor T\rfloor=\min _{v \neq 0} \frac{\|T v\|}{\|v\|} \quad \text { and } \quad\lceil T\rceil=\max _{v \neq 0} \frac{\|T v\|}{\|v\|}
$$

A diffeomorphism $f: U \rightarrow U^{\prime}$ between open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is quasiconformal if the function

$$
p \longmapsto \frac{\left\lceil D f_{p}\right\rceil}{\left\lfloor D f_{p}\right\rfloor}
$$

is bounded on $U$.
Note 2: This definition can be extended to non-differentiable homeomorphisms.

## Applications of Quasiconformal Geometry

- Teichmüller theory: Defines a metric on the Teichmüller space of a hyperbolic surface (Teichmüller 1940). Leads to a proof of the Nielsen-Thurston classification of mapping classes (Bers 1978).
- Mostow rigidity: For $n \geq 3$, if $X$ and $Y$ are closed hyperbolic $n$-manifolds and $\pi_{1}(X) \cong \pi_{1}(Y)$ then $X$ and $Y$ are isometric (Mostow 1968).
- No wandering domains: Every component of the Fatou set for a rational map on the Riemann sphere is periodic or pre-periodic (Sullivan 1985).


## Applications of Quasiconformal Geometry

- Geometric group theory: Any finitely generated group which is quasi-isometric to $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ has a geometric action on $\mathbb{H}^{n}$
(Tukia 1986, Gromov 1987, Cannon-Cooper 1992).
- Characteristic classes: Every $n$-manifold $(n \neq 4)$ supports a unique quasiconformal structure (Sullivan 1978). This allows a theory of characteristic classes for such manifolds (Connes-Sullivan-Teleman 1994).
- Elliptic PDE's: Solution to Calderón's problem on electrical impedance tomography in two dimensions (Astala-Päivärinta 2006).


## Quasisymmetries

## Quasiconformal Maps on a Disk

Let $f: D^{2} \rightarrow D^{2}$ be a homeomorphism which is quasiconformal on the interior.

What can the restriction of $f$ to $S^{1}$ look like?
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## Quasiconformal Maps on a Disk

Let $f: D^{2} \rightarrow D^{2}$ be a homeomorphism which is quasiconformal on the interior.

What can the restriction of $f$ to $S^{1}$ look like?
Theorem (Beurling-Ahlfors 1956)
A homeomorphism $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ is a restriction of a quasiconformal map on $D^{2}$ iff there exists a homeomorphism $\eta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ so that

$$
\frac{\|f(a)-f(b)\|}{\|f(a)-f(c)\|} \leq \eta\left(\frac{\|a-b\|}{\|a-c\|}\right)
$$

for every triple $a, b, c$ of distinct points in $S^{1}$.
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## Definition

A homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasisymmetry if there exists a homeomorphism $\eta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\frac{d(f(a), f(b))}{d(f(a), f(c))} \leq \eta\left(\frac{d(a, b)}{d(a, c)}\right)
$$

for every triple $a, b, c$ of distinct points in $X$.

Note: The quasisymmetries $X \rightarrow X$ form a group.

## Examples



$$
\frac{d(f(a), f(b))}{d(f(a), f(c))} \leq \eta\left(\frac{d(a, b)}{d(a, c)}\right)
$$

If $f$ is bilipschitz with

$$
\frac{1}{K} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq d\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq K d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

then $f$ is quasisymmetric with $\eta(t)=K^{2} t$.

## Examples



$$
\frac{d(f(a), f(b))}{d(f(a), f(c))} \leq \eta\left(\frac{d(a, b)}{d(a, c)}\right)
$$

The function $f(x)=x^{1 / 3}$ is a quasisymmetry of $[-1,1]$, with

$$
\eta(t)= \begin{cases}6 t^{1 / 3} & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ 6 t & \text { if } t>1\end{cases}
$$

## A Non-Example



$$
\frac{d(f(a), f(b))}{d(f(a), f(c))} \leq \eta\left(\frac{d(a, b)}{d(a, c)}\right)
$$

This function is not a quasisymmetry of $[-1,1]$.

## A Non-Example



$$
\frac{d(f(a), f(b))}{d(f(a), f(c))} \leq \eta\left(\frac{d(a, b)}{d(a, c)}\right)
$$

For $a=0, b=\varepsilon$, and $c=-\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\frac{d(f(a), f(b))}{d(f(a), f(c))}=\frac{\varepsilon^{1 / 3}}{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2 / 3}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d(a, b)}{d(a, c)}=1
$$
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Theorem (Väisälä 1981)
If $f$ is quasiconformal then $f$ restricts to a quasisymmetry on every compact subset of $U$.
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 Hyperbolic Groups
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## Hyperbolic Groups

A group is hyperbolic if its Cayley graph satisfies Gromov's thin triangles condition.

Every hyperbolic group $G$ has a boundary $\partial_{\infty} G$.

$\partial_{\infty} G$


Sierpiński carpet

## Hyperbolic Groups

## Whyburn's Theorem (1958)

If $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots$ are disjoint closed topological disks in $S^{2}$ with $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{n}$ dense and diam $\left(D_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, then the complement of their interiors is homeomorphic to the Sierpiniski carpet.

$\partial_{\infty} G$


Sierpiński carpet

## Quasi-Isometries

Theorem (Bonk-Schramm 2000)
Any quasi-isometry $G \rightarrow H$ between hyperbolic groups induces a quasisymmetry $\partial_{\infty} G \rightarrow \partial_{\infty} H$.


## Cannon's Conjecture

Let $G$ be a hyperbolic group.
Cannon's Conjecture (1994)
If there exists a homeomorphism $\partial_{\infty} G \rightarrow S^{2}$, then $G$ acts geometrically on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

figure from Cannon, Floyd, and Parry 2001
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## Cannon's Conjecture

Let $G$ be a hyperbolic group.
Cannon's Conjecture (1994)
If there exists a homeomorphism $\partial_{\infty} G \rightarrow S^{2}$, then $G$ acts geometrically on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

Theorem (Sullivan-Tukia 1986)
If there exists a quasisymmetry $\partial_{\infty} G \rightarrow S^{2}$ then $G$ acts geometrically on $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

Note: Kapovich-Kleiner (1998) have formulated an analog of Cannon's conjecture for groups with Sierpiński carpet boundary.

## By the Way

Theorem (Dahmani-Guirardel-Przytycki 2011)
The boundary of a "random" hyperbolic group is homeomorphic to the Menger sponge.

figure by Niabot from Wikimedia Commons

# Quasisymmetries of Sierpiński Carpets 

## Quasisymmetries of Sierpiński Carpets

We want to understand quasisymmetries for fractals homeomorphic to the Sierpiński carpet.
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## Theorem (Bonk-Merenkov 2013)

The quasisymmetry group of the square Sierpiński carpet is dihedral of order 8.


The full homeomorphism group is very large.

## Quasisymmetries of Sierpiński Carpets

Other Sierpiński carpets can have many quasisymmetries.


So the quasisymmetry group depends on the metric.
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Rigidity Theorem (Bonk-Kleiner-Merenkov 2009)
Any quasisymmetry between round carpets of Lebesgue measure zero must be a Möbius transformation.

In particular, the quasisymmetry group of such a carpet is the group of conformal homeomorphisms.
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## Quasisymmetries of Sierpiński Carpets

A round carpet is a Sierpiński carpet whose holes are round disks.

Rigidity Theorem (Bonk-Kleiner-Merenkov 2009)
Any quasisymmetry between round carpets of Lebesgue measure zero must be a Möbius transformation.

## Uniformization Theorem (Bonk 2011)

A Sierpiński carpet is quasisymmetrically equivalent to a round carpet if and only if:

1. The holes are uniform quasicircles, and
2. The holes are uniformly relatively separated.

## Sierpiński Carpet Julia Sets

Sierpiński carpets also arise as Julia sets for certain rational functions (Milnor-Lei 1993).


$$
f(z)=z^{2}-\frac{1}{16 z^{2}}
$$

## Julia Sets

Every rational function on the Riemann sphere has a Julia set (the closure of the repelling periodic points).
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## Sierpiński Carpet Julia Sets

Sierpiński carpets also arise as Julia sets for certain rational functions (Milnor-Lei 1993).

## Theorem (Bonk-Lyubich-Merenkov 2016)

Let $f(z)$ be a rational function whose Julia set $J_{f}$ is a Sierpiński carpet. If $f$ is postcritically finite, then the quasisymmetry group of $J_{f}$ is finite.

Qiu, Yang, and Zeng (2019) extend this to a large family of semi-hyperbolic Sierpiński carpet Julia sets.

## Quasisymmetries of the Basilica

## The Basilica

The basilica is the Julia set for $f(z)=z^{2}-1$


Theorem (Lyubich-Merenkov 2018)
The quasisymmetry group of the basilica is infinite.

## Quasisymmetries of the Basilica

Thompson's group $T$ is the group of all piecewise-linear homeomorphisms of the circle $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ for which:

1. All slopes are powers of 2 , and
2. All breakpoints are dyadic rationals, as is the image of 0 .
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## Quasisymmetries of the Basilica

In 2015, Bradley Forrest and I described a natural action of $T$ on the basilica.

This $T$ is contained in a larger group of piecewise-conformal homeomorphisms that we called the basilica Thompson group.

## Theorem (B-Forrest 2015)

The basilica Thompson group is finitely generated, co-embeddable with $T$, and has an index-two subgroup which is simple.

Theorem (Lyubich-Merenkov 2018)
All elements of the basilica Thompson group are quasisymmetries.

## Other Julia Sets

Can we extend this to other Julia sets?


Julia set for $f(z)=z^{2}-0.157+1.032 i$
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## Definition (Teplyaev 2008)

Let $X$ be a compact, connected metrizable space.
For each $n \geq 0$, fix a finite collection of subsets of $X$ (the $\boldsymbol{n}$-cells).


Three 1-cells

## General Definition

## Definition (Teplyaev 2008)

Let $X$ be a compact, connected metrizable space.
For each $n \geq 0$, fix a finite collection of subsets of $X$ (the $\boldsymbol{n}$-cells).


Nine 2-cells
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## General Definition

## Definition (Teplyaev 2008)

Let $X$ be a compact, connected metrizable space.
For each $n \geq 0$, fix a finite collection of subsets of $X$ (the $\boldsymbol{n}$-cells).
These define a finitely ramified fractal if:

1. Each $n$-cell is compact, connected, and has nonempty interior.
2. The intersection of any two $n$-cells is finite.
3. The entire space $X$ is the unique 0 -cell, and every $n$-cell is a union of $(n+1)$-cells.
4. If $E_{0} \supseteq E_{1} \supseteq E_{2} \supseteq \cdots$ with each $E_{n}$ an $n$-cell, then $\bigcap_{n=0} E_{n}$ is a single point.
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## Example: The Basilica

The basilica Julia set can be viewed as a finitely ramified fractal.


Twelve 2-cells

## Example: The Basilica

The basilica Julia set can be viewed as a finitely ramified fractal.


Thirty-six 3-cells

## Finitely Ramified Julia Sets

Julia sets for polynomials tend to be finitely ramified.
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## Finitely Ramified Julia Sets

Julia sets for polynomials tend to be finitely ramified.
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## Finitely Ramified Julia Sets

Julia sets for polynomials tend to be finitely ramified.


Julia set for $f(z)=z^{3}-0.21+1.09 i$

## Finitely Ramified Julia Sets

Julia sets for rational functions are sometimes finitely ramified.


Julia set for $f(z)=z^{2}-\frac{1}{16 z^{2}}$

## Finitely Ramified Julia Sets

Julia sets for rational functions are sometimes finitely ramified.


Julia set for $f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}-1$

## Finitely Ramified Julia Sets

Julia sets for rational functions are sometimes finitely ramified.


$$
\text { Julia set for } f(z)=\frac{e^{2 \pi i / 3} z^{2}-1}{z^{2}-1}
$$

Main Theorem

## Main Theorem

A metric on a finitely ramified fractal $X$ is undistorted if:

1. It has exponential cell decay, and
2. The cells have uniform relative separation.

## Theorem (B-Forrest 2023)

1. All undistorted metrics on $X$ are quasisymmetrically equivalent.
2. Any metric quasisymmetrically equivalent to an undistorted metric is undistorted.
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## Exponential Cell Decay:

There exist constants $0<r<R<1$ and $C \geq 1$ so that

$$
\frac{r^{|m-n|}}{C} \leq \frac{\operatorname{diam}\left(E^{\prime}\right)}{\operatorname{diam}(E)} \leq C R^{|m-n|}
$$

for any $m$-cell $E$ and $n$-cell $E^{\prime}$ that intersect.

## Main Theorem

A metric on a finitely ramified fractal $X$ is undistorted if:

1. It has exponential cell decay, and
2. The cells have uniform relative separation.

## Main Theorem

A metric on a finitely ramified fractal $X$ is undistorted if:

1. It has exponential cell decay, and
2. The cells have uniform relative separation.

Uniform Relative Separation:
There exists a constant $\delta>0$ so that

$$
d\left(E, E^{\prime}\right) \geq \delta \operatorname{diam}(E)
$$

for any two $n$-cells $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ that are disjoint.
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## Theorem (B-Forrest 2023)

1. All undistorted metrics on $X$ are quasisymmetrically equivalent.
2. Any metric quasisymmetrically equivalent to an undistorted metric is undistorted.

## Corollary

If $X$ and $Y$ have undistorted metrics, a homeomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a quasisymmetry if and only if the pushforward of the metric on $X$ is undistorted.
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## Application: Sierpiński Triangles

Bandt and Retta (1992) proved that the Sierpiński triangle $T$ is topologically rigid, i.e. every homeomorphism of $T$ maps $n$-cells to $n$-cells.

## Uniformization Theorem (B-Forrest 2023)

A Sierpiński triangle is quasisymmetrically equivalent to the standard one if and only if its metric is undistorted.

We obtain a similar uniformization theorem for any topologically rigid fractal.

Applications to Julia Sets

## Hyperbolic Functions

A rational function $f(z)$ is hyperbolic if the forward orbit of each critical point converges to an attracting cycle.

Such maps are expanding on their Julia set with respect to an appropriate metric.
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A rational function $f(z)$ is hyperbolic if the forward orbit of each critical point converges to an attracting cycle.

Such maps are expanding on their Julia set with respect to an appropriate metric.

All of our results apply only to hyperbolic rational functions $f$ whose Julia sets $J_{f}$ are connected.
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## Theorem (B-Forrest 2023)

If $J_{f}$ has a finite invariant branch cut, the resulting cells define a finitely ramified cell structure on $J_{f}$, and the restriction of the spherical metric is undistorted.

Note: In the polynomial case, a finite invariant branch cut always exists.

## Constructing Quasisymmetries

## Constructing Quasisymmetries

Consider two cells in a finitely ramified fractal $X$ :


A homeomorphism $E \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is cellular if it maps $(m+k)$-cells to ( $n+k$ )-cells for all $k \geq 0$.
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Theorem (B-Forrest 2023)
If the metric on $X$ is undistorted, then any piecewise-cellular homeomorphism of $X$ is a quasisymmetry.

This lets us construct quasisymmetries for many different Julia sets.
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Theorem (B-Forrest 2023)
Any connected Julia set for a hyperbolic quadratic polynomial has infinitely many quasisymmetries.

Specifically, we use "ping-pong lemmas" to show that the quasisymmetry group contains:

- A free product $\mathbb{Z}_{2} * \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ for some $n \geq 2$, and
- Thompson's group F.

All of our constructed quasisymmetries are piecewise-cellular.

## Main Results for Julia Sets

We can also show that many other finitely ramified Julia sets have infinite quasisymmetry group.
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contains

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{3} * \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

Julia set for $f(z)=z^{3}-0.21+1.09 i$

## Main Results for Julia Sets

We can also show that many other finitely ramified Julia sets have infinite quasisymmetry group.

contains
$T$

$$
\text { Julia set for } f(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}-1
$$

## Main Results for Julia Sets

However, some hyperbolic rational functions have a finitely ramified Julia set with only finitely many homeomorphisms.

dihedral of order 8

$$
\text { Julia set for } f(z)=\frac{e^{2 \pi i / 3} z^{2}-1}{z^{2}-1}
$$

## Main Results for Julia Sets

Also, we conjecture that some hyperbolic polynomials have Julia sets with finite quasisymmetry group.


Julia set for $f(z)=(4.424+1.374 i)\left(z^{3}-3 z+2\right)-1$

## Main Results for Julia Sets

Also, we conjecture that some hyperbolic polynomials have Julia sets with finite quasisymmetry group.


Julia set for $f(z)=(4.424+1.374 i)\left(z^{3}-3 z+2\right)-1$

## The End

